#### **FY26 APC RUBRIC**



# **REFLECTION ON FY25'S APC PLAN**

Building the FY26 APC Plan begins with reflecting on what worked in prior years and identifying areas for continued improvement.

Area Planning Coun	cil Goals
	st provide a detailed summary of the APC goals for FY26. These goals should be specific, achievable, relevant, and time bound.
Approved.	Approved with Recommended Changes Not Approved
Comments Section	
	ts st identify any concerns or support that may be needed to meet the deliverables in the FY26 this information is important for developing strategies to address any potential challenge.
Approved.	Approved with Recommended Changes Not Approved
Comments Section	

# **AREA PLANNING COUNCIL INVITEES / PARTICIPANTS**

~ 1		-
( 'AI	umn	
CUI	иши	

Identify by name those representatives from ICCB funded programs, APC partner agencies, Local Workforce Innovation Board partner agencies or other stakeholders invited to attend APC meetings.

CRITERIA	APPROVED	APPROVED WITH RECOMMENDATION	NOT APPROVED
		RECONNENDATION	
Column 1:	All representatives are	Some representatives are	No representatives are
Identify by Name	accurately identified by	accurately identified by their	accurately identified by
<i>y y</i>	their full names.	full names.	their full names.
ı			
C			
Comments Section			
l			
Column 2	CICCD C	1 1 ADC .	I 1117 1.C I
		led programs, APC partner agencie vited to attend APC meetings.	es, Local workforce innovation
boara pariner agenci	ies, and other stakeholders in	vited to ditend AFC meetings.	
CRITERIA	APPROVED	APPROVED WITH	NOT APPROVED
		RECOMMENDATION	<u> </u>
Column 2:	All agencies and	Some agency and department	No accurate agency and
Agency and	department names are	names are provided accurately	department names are
Department	provided accurately for	for ICCB funded programs,	provided.
Naming	ICCB funded programs,	APC partner agencies, Local	
	APC partner agencies, Local Workforce	Workforce Innovation Board	
	Innovation Board partner	partner agencies, and other stakeholders.	
	agencies, and other	stakenoiders.	
	stakeholders.		
Comments Section			
	i		

# **AREA PLANNING DATA**

# SIUE Census Data Planning Chart

CRITERIA	APPROVED	APPROVED WITH RECOMMENDATION	NOT APPROVED
SIUE Census Data Planning Chart	Accurate and comprehensive completion of the SIUE Census Data Planning Chart for FY23-FY26. Clear presentation of data and projections.	Completion of most parts of the SIUE Census Data Planning Chart for FY23-FY26, but with several inaccuracies or omissions. Data and projections lack clarity.	Failure to complete the SIUE Census Data Planning Chart for FY23-FY26
Comments Section			
Current Adult Educa		A DDD QUED WITH	NOT APPROVED
CRITERIA	APPROVED	APPROVED WITH RECOMMENDATION	NOT APPROVED
Current Adult Education Service Data	Accurate and comprehensive completion of the SIUE Census Data Planning Chart for FY23-FY26. Clear presentation of data and projections.	Completion of most parts of the SIUE Census Data Planning Chart for FY23-FY26, but with several inaccuracies or omissions. Data and projections lack clarity.	Failure to complete the SIUE Census Data Planning Chart for FY23-FY26.
Comments Section			

# Strategies for Outreach, Distance Learning, and Retention

CRITERIA	APPROVED	APPROVED WITH RECOMMENDATION	NOT APPROVED
Strategies for Outreach, Distance Learning, and Retention	Clear, comprehensive, and innovative strategies provided for improving outreach, distance learning, and retention. Strategies align well with the at-risk population identified in the Index of Needs.	Strategies for improving outreach, distance learning, and retention are provided, but with several gaps or areas requiring more detail. Strategies somewhat align with the at-risk population identified in the Index of Needs.	Inadequate or missing strategies for improving outreach, distance learning, and retention. No alignment with the at-risk population identified in the Index of Needs.
Comments Section			

#### **ADDITIONAL NEED DATA**

If Applicable, describe any additional needs identified in the APC region not encompassed by the information provided in the section above. Please cite the source of any data provided.

CRITERIA	APPROVED	APPROVED WITH RECOMMENDATION	NOT APPROVED
Identification of Additional Needs	Comprehensive and insightful identification of relevant additional needs, clearly outlining the regional and local needs beyond the information provided.  Demonstrates a deep understanding of the planning processes and data sources. The identified additional needs are highly relevant to the regional and local planning processes. They are integrated effectively into the broader context of the APC plan.	Identification of some relevant additional needs, but with a few gaps or lack of clarity in addressing regional and local considerations. Demonstrates a basic understanding of the planning processes and data sources. The identified additional needs are somewhat relevant to the regional and local planning processes, but integration into the broader context is lacking in places.	Failure to identify any relevant additional needs. No consideration of regional and local planning processes or data sources. The identified additional needs are not relevant to the regional and local planning processes, and there is no integration into the broader context.
CRITERIA	APPROVED	APPROVED WITH RECOMMENDATION	NOT APPROVED
Data Source Citation	Accurate and complete citations provided for each data source referenced, including specific details such as the source name, publication date, and relevant sections.	Citations for data sources provided, but with noticeable omissions or inaccuracies in important details.	No citations provided for data sources referenced.
Comments Section			

# APC /LOCAL WORKFORCE INNOVATION BOARD PARTNERSHIPS AND TRANSITIONS

- 1. Discuss the referral process for your local LWIB and discuss how the WIOA Core partners assist AEL programs in transitioning students to either post-secondary education or to employment.
  - Identify both struggles and successes with service integration.
  - Identify how you will capture co-enrollment data.
  - Discuss how virtual service delivery will be utilized.
  - Discuss how you will use data from the Index of Need to develop innovative outreach methods that engage your at-risk populations.

CRITERIA	APPROVED	APPROVED WITH RECOMMENDATION	NOT APPROVED
Referral Process and Transitioning	Comprehensive discussion of the referral process for the local LWIB, along with clear explanation of how WIOA Core partners support transitions to post-secondary education or employment. Includes both struggles and successes in service integration. Detailed plan for capturing coenrollment data. Thorough consideration of virtual service delivery and innovative outreach methods based on Index of Need data.	Basic discussion of the referral process for the local LWIB and explanation of how WIOA Core partners support transitions. Limited information on struggles and successes in service integration. The plan for capturing co-enrollment data is somewhat unclear. Limited consideration of virtual service delivery and outreach methods based on Index of Need data.	No discussion of the referral process or transitions to post-secondary education or employment. No consideration of service integration, co-enrollment data, virtual service delivery, or innovative outreach methods based on Index of Need data.
Comments Section			

- 2. Identify and briefly explain how partners within the APC are used to support Bridge/ICAPS for students entering Post-Secondary Education. Identify what pathways exist within the APC for AEL students entering Post-Secondary Education and Training: \*Pathways described in this section should be aligned to those identified in Regional and Local Workforce Board Plans.
  - *Identify innovative strategies that engage local employers and industries.*
  - Discuss how you use Barriers to Employment to identify support services that address academic and non-academic barriers.
  - Discuss how you are serving individuals with disabilities.
  - Discuss the sustainability of these pathways that reflect a future-oriented vision for workforce development activities.
  - Identify barriers to implementing quality Bridge/ICAPs programs and include potential strategies for addressing the barriers.

CRITERIA	APPROVED	APPROVED WITH RECOMMENDATION	NOT APPROVED
Partners for Bridge/ICAPS Support	Comprehensive identification and explanation of how partners within the APC support Bridge/ICAPS for students entering post-secondary education. Clear description of pathways within the APC for AEL students entering post-secondary education and training, aligned with Regional and Local Workforce Board Plans. Innovative strategies for engaging local employers and industries are outlined. Through discussion of using Barriers to Employment to identify support services, and effective strategies for serving individuals with disabilities. Sustainable pathways and a future-oriented vision for workforce development activities are well-elaborated. Identification of barriers and detailed strategies for addressing them are provided.	Basic identification and explanation of partners within the APC that support Bridge/ICAPS for students entering post-secondary education. Limited description of pathways within the APC for AEL students entering post-secondary education and training. Limited strategies for engaging local employers and industries are provided. Brief mention of using Barriers to Employment and serving individuals with disabilities. Pathway sustainability and future-oriented vision for workforce development activities are mentioned, but with limited detail. Limited identification of barriers and strategies for addressing them.	No identification or explanation of partners for Bridge/ICAPS support. No description of pathways for AEL students entering post-secondary education. No strategies for engaging local employers and industries. No mention of using Barriers to Employment or serving individuals with disabilities. No discussion of pathway sustainability or future-oriented vision. No identification of barriers or strategies for addressing them.

Comments Section			

3. If you are funded for Integrated English Language and Civics Education, discuss strategies to connect English Language Learners with ICAPS.

CRITERIA	APPROVED	APPROVED WITH RECOMMENDATION	NOT APPROVED
Connecting ELLs with ICAPS (For Funded IELCE Providers)	Thorough and innovative strategies provided for connecting English Language Learners (ELLs) with ICAPS. Clear explanation of how these strategies promote integration between IELCE and ICAPS.	Basic strategies provided for connecting ELLs with ICAPS, but lacking innovation and limited explanation of how these strategies promote integration between IELCE and ICAPS.	No strategies provided for connecting ELLs with ICAPS. No explanation for integration between IELCE and ICAPS.
Comments Section			

МАР				
Attach a detailed map identifying ICCB funded Adult Education services locations within the APC boundaries and dentify by name the AEL funded provider(s) for each location.  Yes No				
SITE AND SERVICES				
<ul> <li>Complete the table by listing all agencies that will be providing adult education services within the APC.</li> <li>Estimate the projected number of students and services provided at each site for the planning year.</li> <li>Include all providers who will be sub-granting with primary agencies.</li> <li>In addition, indicate whether a site will be funded by AEL dollars or other non-ICCB sources of funds.</li> <li>Note: This will not affect your ICCB funding, but will provide a greater understanding of the number of students provided with adult education services in the area</li> </ul>				

CRITERIA	APPROVED	APPROVED WITH RECOMMENDATION	NOT APPROVED
Agency Listing	All agencies providing adult education services are accurately listed in the table.	Most agencies are listed, but there might be minor inaccuracies or omissions.	Major agencies providing adult education services are missing from the table.
Projected Students and Services	Estimated student numbers and services are provided for each site accurately and coherently.	Estimates for most sites are present, but there might be inconsistencies or unclear projections.	Projections for student numbers and services are missing or extensively flawed for multiple sites.
Sub-Granting Providers	All sub-granting providers and their primary agencies are correctly identified.	Sub-granting providers are mostly identified, but some connections might be unclear or erroneous.	Sub-granting providers and their primary agencies are not identified, leading to confusion.
Funding Sources Indication	Each site's funding source (AEL dollars or other) is accurately indicated.	Funding sources are indicated for most sites, but there might be some confusion or lack of clarity.	Funding sources are unclear or entirely missing from many sites, making it difficult to understand.
Comments Section			

#### **COMPREHENSIVE ONE STOP SERVICES COORDINATION PLAN**

- All comprehensive one-stop locations in Illinois must have Adult Education (Title II) representation to comply with WIOA requirement that took effect on July 1, 2016.
- Provide the Local workforce Innovation Board number along with the address of the LWIB One-stop were indicated at the top of the attachment.
- Complete the table by listing all ICCB funded Adult Education providers within the APC that will be providing services at the local One-Stop site and mark with "X" those services offered in FY26.
- Indicate the number of programs staff or technology stations that meet Direct-linkage requirement (that are funded by ICCB AEL) to be located at One-Stop. For example, how many FTEs will be devoted to One Stop?
- Provide total amount (\$) of infrastructure costs contributed to One-Stop by AEL program in FY25(amounts retrievable from signed MOU) and FY2026 - projection.

CRITERIA	APPROVED	APPROVED WITH RECOMMENDATION	NOT APPROVED	
Comprehensive one-stop locations have Adult Education (Title II) representation.				
Local Workforce Innovation Board (LWIB) number and One-Stop address are provided.				
List of ICCB funded Adult Education providers at the local One-Stop.				
Services offered by each provider in FY25 are marked with "X".				
Number of programs, staff, or technology stations meeting Direct-linkage requirements are indicated.				
Total amount (\$) of infrastructure costs contributed in FY24 (retrievable from signed MOU).				
Projected total amount (\$) for infrastructure costs in FY2025.				
Comments Section				

MINORITY REPORT						
APPROVED	APPROVED WITH RECOMMENDATION NOT APPROVED					
Comments Section						